

Non-conformal evolution of magnetic fields during reheating

Esteban Calzetta*

*Departamento de Física and IFIBA, FCEyN - UBA,
Ciudad Universitaria, CABA, Argentina.*

Alejandra Kandus†

*LATO - DCET - UESC - Rodovia Ilhéus-Itabuna km 16 s/n,
Ilhéus - BA, Brazil*

Abstract

We consider the evolution of electromagnetic fields coupled to conduction currents during the reheating era after inflation, and prior to the establishing of the proton-electron plasma. We assume that the currents may be described by second order causal hydrodynamics. The resulting theory is not conformally invariant. The expansion of the Universe produces temperature gradients which couple to the current and generally oppose Ohmic dissipation. Although the effect is not strong, it suggests that the unfolding of hydrodynamic instabilities in these models may follow a different pattern than in first order theories, and even than in second order theories on non expanding backgrounds.

* E-mail me at: calzetta@df.uba.ar

† E-mail me at: kandus@uesc.br

I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of magnetic fields on galactic and larger scales is one of the main puzzles in present day cosmology [1–3]. Neither of the two major paradigms proposed to attack this question, namely dynamo amplification and primordial origin, seems to be able to provide a solution by itself [4]. It therefore seems likely that both mechanisms are at work, i.e., a seed field is generated early in the cosmic evolution and then subjected to one or several amplification stages [5]. This calls for a careful analysis of the cosmological history of magnetic fields [6].

Lots of efforts have been made to understand the evolution of primordial fields in the proton-electron plasma during the radiation dominated epoch. Special mention deserves the studies that address turbulent evolution, where fields with non-trivial topology i.e., with non zero magnetic helicity, would not be washed out by expansion as quickly as those with null magnetic helicity [6–8].

If we accept the existence of Inflation, then there must be a stage between it and the establishing of the proton-electron plasma where non-equilibrium processes dominated. That epoch is known as ‘reheating’. Moreover, electroweak (EW) and quantum-chromodynamic (QCD) phase transitions could have taken place by the end of it. Little is known of this epoch, besides the fact that all matter is created by the oscillatory decay of the inflaton. For example, the typical relaxation times and correlation times of the different interactions are not known.

In this paper we shall perform a preliminary (see below) analysis of the evolution of magnetic fields during the reheating era [9, 10]. To this end, we shall consider that, on top of the two dominant contributions to the energy density, namely the coherent oscillations of the inflaton [11] and the incoherent radiation field, there is a charged fluid that may interact non-trivially with the electromagnetic field. We do not identify this fluid with the usual proton-electron plasma because we consider the evolution during an epoch well before quantum-chromodynamic phase transition.

Both the coherent electromagnetic fields and the charged fluid could be created as a side effect of reheating by the parametric amplification of vacuum fluctuations of a massive scalar field, as it has been discussed elsewhere [7]. A suitable candidate for the massive field could be the lightest supersymmetric partner, the s - τ [12]. We shall assume that this fluid

supports both viscous stresses and conduction currents, namely, electric currents without mass transport. For simplicity, we shall use Maxwell theory to describe the fields, in spite of the fact that the temperatures involved may be above the electroweak transition.

At those early epochs the temperature and curvature of the Universe are very high and consequently a generally relativistic treatment is mandatory. The theory of relativistic real fluids has a long history but only relatively recently it has been put to the test, through its application to relativistic heavy ion collisions (RHICs)[13]. Simply put, the straightforward covariant generalization of the Navier-Stokes equations leads to the so-called first order theories (FOTs), of which the Eckart [14] and Landau-Lifshitz [15] formulations are the best known. These theories have severe formal problems [16] which may be solved (among several possible strategies [17]) by going over to the so-called second order theories (SOTs)[18]. The performance of SOTs with respect to RHICs is analyzed in [19].

There is not a single SOT framework as compelling as the Navier-Stokes equations in the non-relativistic regime [18, 20, 21]. However, in the linearized regime they all agree in providing a set of Maxwell - Cattaneo equations [22] for the viscous stresses and conduction currents, while they differ in the way the transport coefficients in these equations are linked to the underlying kinetic theory description [23–29]. For this reason in this paper we shall consider only the linearized regime. This is what makes our analysis preliminary, because it is likely that the most important effects of the fluid - field interaction will be connected to nonlinear phenomena such as inverse cascades [30, 31], field - turbulence interactions [8] and hydrodynamic instabilities [32]. However, as we shall see, already in the linearized regime there are significant qualitative differences between SOTs and FOTs, and between SOTs on flat and expanding backgrounds.

There is a large literature on cosmological models based on SOTs [33–36]. This literature focused for the largest part on homogeneous models, where the interest was in how viscous effects modified the cosmic expansion and contributed to entropy generation. These analysis showed that there are meaningful differences between ideal, first and second order theories even at the largest scales. To our knowledge, the application of SOTs to inhomogeneous models is less developed than FOTs [37, 38]. This consideration also contributed to make an analysis such as this paper a necessary first step. We note that a family of exact solutions for the Boltzmann equation in expanding backgrounds with a well defined hydrodynamic limit is known, which provides a helpful test bench for the theory [39].

In summary, we shall adopt the so-called divergence type theory supplemented by the entropy production variational principle (EPVP) as a representative SOT, but will regard the transport coefficients as free parameters, rather than attempting to derive them from an underlying kinetic description [40]. For this reason, our analysis is relevant to any SOT model.

The equations of the model are the conservation laws for energy - momentum and charge, the Maxwell equations, and the Maxwell - Cattaneo equations providing closure; for a detailed derivation see [41]. In the linearized regime, these equations decouple in three sets of modes, sound waves, incompressible shear waves, and electromagnetic waves coupled to conduction currents. We shall consider only the latter.

We shall model the Universe during reheating as a spatially flat Friedman - Robertson - Walker (FRW) model, whose metric in conformal time is $ds^2 = a^2(\eta) [-d\eta^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2]$, $a(\eta)$ being the conformal factor. We shall assume for the fluid an equation of state $p = (1/3)\rho$ and vanishing bulk viscosity. Under these prescriptions, FOTs lead to conformally invariant equations [8]. Therefore the electromagnetic fields are suppressed by a a^{-2} factor, on top of the hydrodynamic evolution. We shall consider the evolution of electromagnetic fields in an environment where the temperature is higher than the QCD phase transition temperature, i.e. a scenario where SOTs seem to correctly describe the state of the matter.

Unlike FOTs, the equations derived from SOTs are not conformally invariant: the expansion of the Universe creates temperature gradients which couple to the fluid velocity and conduction currents. This leads to a weaker suppression of the magnetic fields than expected from a FOT framework. This is the main conclusion of this paper. The effect is not large, but suggests that these SOTs models may be more sensitive to nonlinear effects, such as hydrodynamic instabilities, than FOTs or even SOTs on non-expanding backgrounds. This possibility will be investigated elsewhere.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II we introduce the formalism and the covariant equations of second order hydrodynamics. We analyze the conformal invariance of the theory and derive the equations for the fields as well as for the viscous stress and conduction current, showing that the latter are explicitly non conformally invariant. In Section III we linearize the equations and propose a simple, toy model, to solve them. In Section IV we consider the homogeneous case $k = 0$, that permits to study the electric field

and conduction current separately from the magnetic field. In section V we consider super-horizon modes of astrophysical interest, i.e., $k \ll 1$, and find that the magnetic fields evolves in a way clearly different than in FOT's models. In Section VI we summarize and discuss our results. We leave for the Appendix A the analysis of sub-horizon modes $k \gg 1$ as they are not as astrophysically interesting as super-horizon modes. In Appendices B and C we quote some secondary results and technicalities for the reader interested in those details. We work with signature $(-, +, +, +)$ and natural units $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$, thus time and length have dimensions of $energy^{-1}$, while wavenumbers, mass and temperature units are those of *energy*.

II. GENERAL RELATIVISTIC FLUID EQUATIONS

A. The equations in covariant form and their $3 + 1$ decomposition

We consider a system composed by a neutral plasma plus electromagnetic field in a flat FRW universe, whose metric in conformal time is $ds^2 = a^2(\eta)[-d\eta^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2]$, $a(\eta)$ being the conformal factor. This form of the metric is obtained from the one written in physical time t by defining $d\eta = H_0 dt/a(t)$, with H_0 the Hubble constant during Inflation¹. If for Inflation we consider the de Sitter prescription, $a_I(t) = \exp(H_0 t)$, then $a_I(\eta) = 1/(1 - \eta)$ with $\eta \leq 0$. If for reheating we accept that during that period the Universe evolves as if it were dominated by matter [11], then $a_R(t) = (1 + (3/2)H_0 t)^{2/3}$ and consequently $a_R(\eta) = (1 + \eta/2)^2$. Observe that we have matched the two expressions at $t = \eta = 0$ such that $a_I(0) = a_R(0) = 1$. As H_0 is a fixed, characteristic energy scale, we can use it to build non-dimensional quantities, as we did with conformal time, e. g. we define dimensionless lengths and corresponding wavenumbers as $l = H_0 \ell$ and $k = \kappa/H_0$. Magnetic and electric field units are $energy^2$ so we write $B = \mathcal{B}/H_0^2$ and $E = \mathcal{E}/H_0^2$. To complete, we quote the temperature $T = \mathcal{T}/H_0$ and the electric conductivity $\Sigma_c = \sigma_c/H_0$. We use greek letters to denote space-time indices, and latin letters when we deal with spatial-only components. Besides, we use semicolons to express covariant derivatives and commas to denote partial derivatives; in particular a 'prime' will denote partial derivative with respect to conformal time, i.e., $A' = \partial A/\partial \eta$. To evaluate the different covariant derivatives we need the Christoffel

¹ With this definition, η is already dimensionless.

symbols, $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ whose only non-null components are $\Gamma_{00}^0 = a'/a$, $\Gamma_{ij}^0 = a'/a\delta_{ij}$ and $\Gamma_{0j}^i = a'/a\delta_j^i$.

Let u^μ be the fluid four-velocity. We decompose it as

$$u^\mu = \gamma (U^\mu + v^\mu) \quad (1)$$

with $\gamma = \sqrt{1 - v^2}$. It is satisfied that $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$ and $U^\mu v_\mu = 0$. U^μ is the velocity of fiducial observers and v^μ represents deviations from Hubble flow, i.e. peculiar velocities. Each of these velocities defines a congruence of time-lines, for which there is an orthonormal space-like surface defined through the projectors

$$h^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu, \quad \Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + U^\mu U^\nu \quad (2)$$

The matter is described by the energy momentum tensor, $T^{\mu\nu}$, which we decompose as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_0^{\mu\nu} + \tau^{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

with

$$T_0^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p) u^\mu u^\nu + p g^{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\tau^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{15} \tau \mathcal{F}_4 \zeta^{\mu\nu} \quad (5)$$

the viscous stress tensor. In eq. (5), τ is a characteristic relaxation time and $\zeta^{\mu\nu}$ is a Lagrange multiplier whose evolution equation will be given below; for $\tau \rightarrow 0$ it reduces to the FOT dissipative shear viscous tensor. We write the electromagnetic field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$ in 3 + 1 form relative to the fiducial observers as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\nu,\mu} = U_\mu E_\nu - E_\mu U_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} U^\beta B^\alpha \quad (6)$$

with $\eta^{0123} = [\det(-g_{\mu\nu})]^{-1/2}$. For future use, we define $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} = \eta^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} U_\beta$. Observe that the electric and magnetic fields are obtained from (6) as $E^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} U_\nu$ and $B^\mu = (1/2) \eta^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} U_\nu F_{\alpha\beta}$ respectively. The electric current is

$$J^\mu = \rho_q u^\mu + \Upsilon^\mu \quad (7)$$

with

$$\Upsilon^\mu = \frac{e^2}{3} \tau \mathcal{F}_2 \zeta^\mu \quad (8)$$

where ζ^μ is another Lagrange multiplier whose evolution equation is also given below, and that for $\tau \rightarrow 0$ gives the usual Ohm's law.

Although we shall regard \mathcal{F}_n in eqs. (5) and (8) as free parameters, we observe that these equations may be derived from a linearized Boltzmann equation [41], in which case they are seen to be

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \int Dp \frac{f_0}{F} |-u^\lambda p_\lambda|^n \quad (9)$$

with f_0 the one particle distribution function, $Dp = (2\pi)^{-3/2} 2d^4p \delta(p^\mu p_\mu - m^2)$ the integration measure (m is the mass of the plasma particles), and where F is a multiplicative factor in the linearized collision integral. Common choices for F are Marle's prescription [42, 43], i.e. $F = \text{const.}$, and the Anderson-Witting proposal [44, 45] whereby $F = |u^\mu p_\mu|$.

Observe that in eq. (6) we defined the electromagnetic field relative to fiducial observers. It is also with respect to this velocity that we shall define the 'total time derivative' or 'dot derivative', namely $\dot{A}_\mu = A_{\mu;\nu} U^\nu$. The 'total spatial derivative' is accordingly defined as $A_{\mu;\nu} \Delta^\nu_\alpha$.

The equations we have to solve are the conservation equations (matter coupled to the electromagnetic field plus charge conservation), Maxwell equations and two equations that describe the evolution of the Lagrange multipliers $\zeta^{\mu\nu}$ and ζ^μ . The conservation laws are

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = -J_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \quad (10)$$

$$J^\mu_{;\mu} = 0 \quad (11)$$

and Maxwell equations in covariant form read

$$F^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = -J^\mu \quad (12)$$

$$\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\nu\rho;\sigma} = 0 \quad (13)$$

To our purposes the best is to rewrite the previous equations in 3+1 form relative to fiducial observers. This is achieved by projecting each set along U^μ and onto its orthogonal surface described by $\Delta^{\mu\nu}$. The projection along U^μ is defined as [46] $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} U_\mu = (T^{\mu\nu} U_\mu)_{;\nu} - T^{\mu\nu} U_{\mu;\nu}$ and the one onto the orthogonal surface as $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} \Delta^\alpha_\mu$. For the set (10) we first replace

expression (1) in eq. (4) and define

$$\check{\rho} = \gamma^2 (\rho + p) - p \quad (14)$$

$$\check{p} = p + \frac{1}{3} (\gamma^2 - 1) (\rho + p) \quad (15)$$

$$\check{q}^\mu = \gamma^2 (\rho + p) v^\mu \quad (16)$$

$$\check{\pi}^{\mu\nu} = \gamma^2 (\rho + p) v^\mu v^\nu - \frac{1}{3} (\gamma^2 - 1) (\rho + p) \Delta^{\mu\nu} \quad (17)$$

We thus write eq. (3) as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \check{\rho} U^\mu U^\nu + \check{p} \Delta^{\mu\nu} + U^\mu \check{q}^\nu + U^\nu \check{q}^\mu + \check{\pi}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{15} \tau T^5 \zeta^{\mu\nu} \quad (18)$$

For eqs. (7) plus (8) we directly obtain

$$J^\mu = \rho_q \gamma (U^\mu + v^\mu) + \frac{e^2}{3} \tau T^3 \zeta^\mu \quad (19)$$

To find the evolution equation for the plasma we assume the equation of state $p = \rho/3$. For the projection along U^μ of eq. (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} [(4\gamma^2 - 1) \rho]_{;\nu} U^\nu + \frac{4}{3} (\gamma^2 \rho v^\nu)_{;\nu} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{a'}{a^2} [(4\gamma^2 - 1) \rho] + \frac{2}{15} (\tau T^5 \zeta^{\mu\nu})_{;\nu} U_\mu \\ & = E^\nu \left(\gamma \rho_q v_\nu + \frac{e^2}{3} \tau T^3 \zeta_\nu \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

while for the spatial projection we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & p_{;\nu} \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{4}{3} [\gamma^2 \rho v^\alpha v^\nu]_{;\nu} \Delta_\alpha^\mu + 5 \frac{a'}{a^2} \check{q}^\alpha \Delta_\alpha^\mu + \frac{1}{a} \check{q}^{\alpha'} \Delta_\alpha^\mu \\ & - \frac{2}{15} \tau' T^5 \zeta^{\alpha 0} \Delta_\alpha^\mu - \frac{2}{3} \tau T^4 T_{;\nu} \zeta^{\alpha\nu} \Delta_\alpha^\mu - \frac{2}{15} \tau T^5 \zeta_{;\nu}^{\alpha\nu} \Delta_\alpha^\mu \\ & = \Delta_\alpha^\mu \left[\rho_q E^\alpha + \frac{1}{a} \tilde{\varepsilon}^{\alpha\nu}{}_\rho B^\rho \left(\rho_q v_\nu + \frac{e^2}{3} \tau T \zeta_\nu \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

For eq. (11) using (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \rho_{q;\mu} U^\mu + \gamma \rho_{q;\mu} v^\mu + \rho_q [\gamma^2 v^\alpha v_{\alpha;\mu} u^\mu + \gamma U_{;\mu}^\mu + \gamma v_{;\mu}^\mu] \\ & + e^2 \tau T^2 T_{;\mu} \zeta^\mu + \frac{e^2}{3} \tau T^3 \zeta_{;\mu}^\mu = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

As Maxwell equations are already written in terms of U^μ the projection is straightforward.

For the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations (12) we have

$$E_{;\nu}^\nu = \rho_q - \frac{e^2}{3} \tau T^3 \zeta^\mu U_\mu \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta_\alpha^\mu \dot{E}^\alpha = -2 \frac{a'}{a^2} \Delta_\nu^\mu E^\nu + \Delta_\alpha^\mu \eta^{\alpha\nu\rho\sigma} U_\sigma B_{\rho;\nu} - \Delta_\alpha^\mu J^\alpha \quad (24)$$

while for the homogeneous ones (13) we obtain

$$B_{;\beta}^\beta = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{1}{a}\Delta_\gamma^\mu \varepsilon^{\gamma\beta} E_{;\beta}^\alpha + 2\frac{a'}{a^2}\Delta_\gamma^\mu B^\gamma + \Delta_\gamma^\mu \dot{B}^\gamma = 0 \quad (26)$$

We now discuss the equations for the Lagrange Multipliers ζ_μ and $\zeta_{\mu\nu}$, see [40] and [41] for details. ζ_μ and $\zeta_{\mu\nu}$ are transverse with respect to u^μ and $\zeta_{\mu\nu}$ is also traceless, i.e. they satisfy

$$\zeta_\mu u^\mu = 0 = \zeta_{\mu\nu} u^\mu, \quad \zeta_\mu^\mu = 0 \quad (27)$$

Their evolution equations in covariant form are straightforwardly obtained from the corresponding Minkowski expressions given in Ref. [41]. We obtain:

$$\zeta_\mu = 2\frac{\mathcal{A}_2}{\mathcal{A}_3}F_{\mu\nu}u^\nu - \tau\frac{\mathcal{F}_4}{\mathcal{A}_3}h_\mu^\alpha \zeta_{\alpha;\beta}u^\beta - \frac{1}{e^2\mathcal{A}_1}(-J^\alpha u_\alpha)_{;\beta}h_\mu^\beta \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_4 [\zeta_{\mu\nu} + \tau h_\mu^\alpha h_\nu^\beta \zeta_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}u^\gamma] &= \frac{\mathcal{A}_4}{T}\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \tau\mathcal{A}_5\frac{T_{;\beta}u^\beta}{T^2}\zeta_{\mu\nu} \\ &- \frac{\mathcal{A}_5}{7}\frac{\tau}{T}\left[u_{;\alpha}^\alpha\zeta_{\mu\nu} + \zeta_{\mu\alpha}\sigma_\nu^\alpha + \zeta_{\alpha\nu}\sigma_\mu^\alpha - \frac{2}{3}h_{\mu\nu}\zeta^{(0)\alpha\beta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

with $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = (1/2)[u_{\mu;\nu} + u_{\nu;\mu}] - (1/3)u_{;\alpha}^\alpha h_{\mu\nu}$ the shear tensor. In the derivation from linearized kinetic theory the functions \mathcal{A}_n are given by [41]:

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \int Dp |-u_\alpha p^\alpha|^n f_0 \quad (30)$$

We only mention this because it makes it easy to check the dimensions of \mathcal{F}_n and \mathcal{A}_n ; otherwise we shall regard them as free parameters. The dimensions of the different expressions under the integrals are $[f_0] = 1$, $[Dp] = E^2$, $[u_\alpha p^\alpha] = E$, with E meaning 'energy' and consequently $[\mathcal{A}_n] = E^{n+2}$ and $[\mathcal{F}_n] = E^{n+1}$. As the only energy scale of the plasma is its temperature, we rewrite eq. (4) as

$$\tau^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{15}c_1\tau T^5\zeta^{\mu\nu} \quad (31)$$

and eq. (8) as

$$\Upsilon^\mu = \frac{e^2}{3}c_2\tau T^3\zeta^\mu \quad (32)$$

with c_1, c_2 dimensionless, $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients.

B. Conformal Invariance

To analyze conformal invariance we begin by rewriting the coefficients in eq. (28) and (29) as

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_2}{\mathcal{A}_3} = \frac{b_1}{T}, \quad \frac{\mathcal{F}_4}{\mathcal{A}_3} = b_2, \quad \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_1} = \frac{b_3}{T^3}, \quad \frac{\mathcal{A}_5}{\mathcal{A}_4} = d_1 T \quad (33)$$

where b_1, b_2, b_3, d_1 are again numerical, $\sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients. Therefore the mentioned eqs. read

$$\zeta_\mu = 2\frac{b_1}{T}F_{\mu\nu}u^\nu - b_2\tau h_\mu^\alpha \zeta_{\alpha;\beta}u^\beta - \frac{b_3}{e^2 T^3}(-J^\alpha u_\alpha)_{;\beta}h_\mu^\beta \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T}\sigma_{\mu\nu} - d_1\tau\frac{T_{;\beta}u^\beta}{T}\zeta_{\mu\nu} &= [\zeta_{\mu\nu} + \tau h_\mu^\alpha h_\nu^\beta \zeta_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}u^\gamma] \\ &+ \frac{d_1}{7}\tau \left[u_{;\alpha}^\alpha \zeta_{\mu\nu} + \zeta_{\mu\alpha}\sigma_\nu^\alpha + \zeta_{\alpha\nu}\sigma_\mu^\alpha - \frac{2}{3}h_{\mu\nu}\zeta^{(0)\alpha\beta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

We now transform the different quantities in the model according to

$$u^\mu = \frac{\tilde{u}^\mu}{a} \rightarrow u_\mu = a\tilde{u}_\mu \quad h^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\tilde{h}^{\mu\nu}}{a^2} \rightarrow h_\nu^\mu = \tilde{h}_\nu^\mu \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} = a^2\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \sigma_{\mu\nu} = a\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \quad (36)$$

(and similar rules for U^μ and $\Delta^{\mu\nu}$)

$$\zeta^\mu = \frac{\tilde{\zeta}^\mu}{a^2} \rightarrow \zeta_\mu = \tilde{\zeta}_\mu; \quad \zeta^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\tilde{\zeta}^{\mu\nu}}{a^2} \rightarrow \zeta_{\mu\nu} = a^2\tilde{\zeta}_{\mu\nu} \quad (37)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}}{a^4} \quad (38)$$

and

$$\rho = \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{a^4}, \quad p = \frac{\tilde{p}}{a^4}, \quad \rho_q = \frac{\tilde{\rho}_q}{a^4}, \quad J^\mu = \frac{\tilde{J}^\mu}{a^4}, \quad T = \frac{T_0}{a}, \quad \tau = a\tilde{\tau} \quad (39)$$

Replacing these transformations in eqs. (18), (7), (31) and (32) we find

$$T_0^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\tilde{T}_0^{\mu\nu}}{a^6}, \quad \tau^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\tilde{\tau}^{\mu\nu}}{a^6}, \quad J^\mu = \frac{\tilde{J}^\mu}{a^4} \quad (40)$$

and the set of eqs. (20)-(21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{3}(4\gamma^2 - 1)\tilde{\rho}' + \frac{4}{3}\gamma^2\tilde{\rho}' + \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\rho}\gamma_{;j}^2\tilde{v}^j + \frac{4}{3}\gamma^2\tilde{\rho}_{;j}\tilde{v}^j + \frac{4}{3}\gamma^2\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{;j}^j + 5\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^4\tilde{T}_{;j}\tilde{\zeta}^{0j} + \tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^5\tilde{\zeta}_{;j}^{0j} \\ &= \tilde{E}^j \left[\gamma\tilde{\rho}_q\tilde{v}_j + \frac{e^2}{3}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^3\tilde{\zeta}_j \right] \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}_{;i} + \frac{4}{3}[\gamma^2\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}^i\tilde{v}^j]_{;j} + \frac{4}{3}(\gamma\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}^i)' - \frac{2}{15}\tilde{\tau}'\tilde{T}^5\tilde{\zeta}^{i0} - \frac{2}{15}\frac{a'}{a}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^5\tilde{\zeta}^{i0} - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^4\tilde{T}_{;j}\tilde{\zeta}^{ij} - \frac{2}{15}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^5\tilde{\zeta}_{;j}^{ij} \\ &= \left[\tilde{\rho}_q\tilde{E}^i + \tilde{\varepsilon}^{ij}{}_k\tilde{B}^k \left(\tilde{\rho}_q\tilde{v}_j + \frac{e^2}{3}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^3\tilde{\zeta}_j \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

while for eq. (22) we have

$$\gamma\tilde{\rho}'_q + \gamma\tilde{\rho}_{q,\mu}\tilde{v}^\mu + \tilde{\rho}_q\gamma^3[\tilde{v}'_\alpha + \tilde{v}_{\alpha,\mu}\tilde{v}^\mu]\tilde{v}^\alpha + \tilde{\rho}_q\gamma\tilde{v}^\mu_{,\mu} + e^2\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^2\tilde{T}_{,\mu}\zeta^\mu + \frac{e^2}{3}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^3\tilde{\zeta}^\mu_{,\mu} = 0 \quad (43)$$

It is a well known result that Maxwell equations are conformally invariant. For the homogeneous equations it is a trivial result, and for the inhomogeneous equations it is directly apparent from the transformation law for $F^{\mu\nu}$ and the last of exprs. (40). Therefore transforming eqs. (23)-(26) we have

$$\tilde{E}^i_{,i} = \tilde{\rho}_q + \frac{e^2}{3}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^3\tilde{\zeta}^0 \quad (44)$$

$$\tilde{E}^{i'} = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \tilde{B}^k_{,j} - \tilde{\rho}_q\tilde{v}^i - \frac{e^2}{3}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{T}^3\tilde{\zeta}^i \quad (45)$$

$$\tilde{B}^i_{,i} = 0 \quad (46)$$

$$\tilde{B}^{i'} = -\varepsilon^{ij}{}_k \tilde{E}^k_{,j} \quad (47)$$

Notwithstanding, when we apply the above conformal transformations to the evolution equations for ζ^μ and $\zeta^{\mu\nu}$ conformal invariance is lost. To see this, we replace u^μ and $F^{\mu\nu}$ from eqs. (1) and (6), and use the conformal transformations defined above to obtain

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\mu = 2\frac{b_1}{\tilde{T}}\gamma\left[\tilde{E}_\mu + \tilde{U}_\mu\tilde{E}_\nu\tilde{v}^\nu + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mu\nu\alpha}\tilde{B}^\alpha\tilde{v}^\nu\right] - \tilde{\tau}b_2\gamma\tilde{h}_\mu^\alpha\left[\tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha,0} - \frac{a'}{a}\tilde{\zeta}_\alpha + \tilde{v}^\beta\tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha,\beta}\right] \quad (48)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[1 + \tilde{\tau}d_1\gamma\left(\frac{\tilde{T}'}{\tilde{T}} - \frac{a'}{a}\right)\right]\tilde{\zeta}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{\tilde{T}}\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\tau}h_\mu^\alpha h_\nu^\beta \gamma\left[\tilde{\zeta}'_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha\beta,j}\tilde{v}^j\right] \\ -\tilde{\tau}\frac{d_1}{7}\left[\gamma' + \gamma\left(3\frac{a'}{a} + \tilde{v}^\alpha_{,\alpha}\right)\right]\tilde{\zeta}_{\mu\nu} &- \tilde{\tau}\frac{d_1}{7}\left[\tilde{\zeta}_{\mu\alpha}\tilde{\sigma}_\nu^\alpha + \tilde{\sigma}_\mu^\alpha\tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\zeta}^{(0)\alpha\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

In both equations, the terms proportional to a'/a do not cancel out and this fact makes the two equations non conformal invariant. As the fields evolve coupled to this plasma, the conservation of the magnetic flux during their early evolution is lost. To have a glimpse of the effect of this coupling on the amplitude of the magnetic field, we shall solve the equations in the linear regime.

III. LINEAR EVOLUTION

The system of equations that describe the evolution of the plasma is non linear. We shall study the linear regime, that is suitable for small amplitudes. We shall also consider that the

plasma is neutral, i.e., we assume $\tilde{\rho}_q = \delta\tilde{\rho}_q = 0$. First order quantities are $\tilde{\zeta}^\mu$, $\tilde{\zeta}^{\mu\nu}$, \tilde{v}^j , $\delta\tilde{\rho}$ and the electromagnetic field. Writing $H(\eta) = a'/a$, the linear equations read

$$\delta\tilde{\rho}' = -\frac{4}{3}\tilde{\rho}_0\tilde{v}_{,j}^j \quad (50)$$

$$\tilde{v}_{,i}^i = -\frac{1}{4\tilde{\rho}_0}\delta\tilde{\rho}_{,i} + \frac{1}{10}\frac{\tilde{\tau}T_0^5}{\tilde{\rho}_0}\tilde{\zeta}_{,j}^{ij} \quad (51)$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{ij}' = \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}T_0}\tilde{\sigma}_{ij} + \left[\frac{4}{7}d_1H(\eta) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}\right]\tilde{\zeta}_{ij} \quad (52)$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_i' = \left[H(\eta) - \frac{1}{b_2\tilde{\tau}}\right]\tilde{\zeta}_i + \frac{b_1}{b_2\tilde{\tau}T_0}\tilde{E}_i \quad (53)$$

$$\tilde{E}^{i\prime} = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k\tilde{B}_{,j}^k - \frac{e^2}{3}\tilde{\tau}T_0^3\tilde{\zeta}^i \quad (54)$$

$$\tilde{B}^{i\prime} = -\varepsilon^{ij}{}_k\tilde{E}_{,j}^k \quad (55)$$

where we see that at this level the plasma equations have separated from the electromagnetic equations, so from now on we concentrate only in the latter as our focus is the electromagnetic field evolution. Before going on, observe that if we set $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow 0$ in eq. (53) we have that $\tilde{\zeta}_i = (b_1/T_0)\tilde{E}_i$. Replacing this expression into Ampère law, eq. (54), the factor that multiplies $\tilde{\zeta}^i$ in the last term of the r.h.s. becomes $(e^2/3)\tilde{\tau}T_0^2b_1E^i$, and recalling the constitutive relation between electric field and density current, $\tilde{J}^i = \tilde{\sigma}_c\tilde{E}^i$, we can read the expression for the (commoving) electric conductivity:

$$\tilde{\sigma}_c = b_1\frac{e^2}{3}\tilde{\tau}T_0^2 \quad (56)$$

Observe also, that due to the conformal scalings (39) the physical and commoving electric conductivities are related in the usual way, i.e. $\sigma_c = \tilde{\sigma}_c/a$. We then rewrite eq. (54) as

$$\tilde{E}^{i\prime} = \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k\tilde{B}_{,j}^k - \frac{T_0\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_1}\tilde{\zeta}^i \quad (57)$$

To go on we change the time dependence from η to $u = (1 + \eta/2)$, whence $d/d\eta = (1/2)d/du$ and $H = 1/u$. Assuming incompressible evolution and transforming Fourier we get

$$\frac{d\tilde{\zeta}^i(\bar{k}, u)}{du} = -2\left[\frac{1}{b_2\tilde{\tau}} - \frac{1}{u}\right]\tilde{\zeta}^i(\bar{k}, u) + \frac{2b_1}{b_2\tilde{\tau}T_0}\tilde{E}^i(\bar{k}, u) \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{E}^{i\prime}(\bar{k}, u)}{du} = 2i\varepsilon^{ij}{}_k k^j\tilde{B}^k(\bar{k}, u) - \frac{2T_0\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_1}\tilde{\zeta}^i(\bar{k}, u) \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{B}^i(\bar{k}, u)}{du} = -2i\varepsilon^{ij}{}_k k^j\tilde{E}^k(\bar{k}, u) \quad (60)$$

We shall not attempt to solve system (58)-(59) numerically, as this would oblige us to stick to a specific range of parameters. Instead, to have a glimpse of how the system behaves we assume a simple configuration given by

$$\bar{k} = k\check{z}, \quad \tilde{B}_i = \tilde{B}_y\check{y}, \quad \tilde{E}_i = \tilde{E}_x\check{x}, \quad \tilde{\zeta}_i = \tilde{\zeta}_x\check{x} \quad (61)$$

Defining the matrices

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_x \\ \tilde{E}_x \\ \tilde{B}_y \end{pmatrix} \quad (62)$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{b_2\tau} & -\frac{b_1}{b_2}\frac{1}{\tau T_0} & 0 \\ \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1} & 0 & ik \\ 0 & ik & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (63)$$

the system of equations for the electromagnetic sector can be written in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}' + 2\mathbf{\Xi}\mathbf{\Lambda} = 2\mathbf{H}\mathbf{\Lambda} \quad (64)$$

where now a 'prime' denotes derivative with respect to u , i.e., $\prime = d/du$. In spite of its simple form, it is rather difficult to solve eq. (64) exactly, except for the homogeneous mode, $k = 0$. We begin by solving this case and then consider perturbatively the case $k \ll 1$, that corresponds to modes well outside the particle horizon as e.g., the galactic scale. The solution for modes $k \gg 1$ is given in Appendix A.

To appreciate the features of the SOT evolution, it is convenient to keep in mind their behavior in the $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow 0$ limit, whereby the model reduces to a FOT. In that case system (58)-(60) plus (56) and model (61) reduces to

$$\frac{\tilde{E}(k, u)}{du} = 2ik\tilde{B} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_c\tilde{E} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{B}^i(k, u)}{du} = -2ik\tilde{E} \quad (66)$$

and this (conformally invariant) system can be combined to give a wave equation whose solutions are the exponentials $e^{-2\gamma_{(\pm)}u}$ with $\gamma_{(\pm)} = \tilde{\sigma}_c/2 \pm \sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}_c^2/4 - k^2}$. Observe that when $k \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma_{(+)} \rightarrow \sigma_c$ and $\gamma_{(-)} \rightarrow 0$. The second solution describes the “frozen” magnetic field, and the first the “discharge” of the electric field due to the resistivity of the plasma. If $k \neq 0$ we have the well known pure exponential decay.

IV. ANALYTIC SOLUTION FOR THE HOMOGENEOUS MODE $K = 0$

In the $k = 0$ case, eqs. (64) may be solved in closed form. We then begin by putting $k = 0$ in matrix Ξ and the r.h.s. of eq. (64) equal to zero. Proposing as solution a time dependence of the form $\Lambda^i(\eta) = \Lambda^{(0)i} \exp(-2\lambda^{(0)}u)$ and imposing that the determinant of the resulting system be zero we obtain the eigenvalue equation:

$$\lambda^{(0)2} \left(\frac{1}{b_2\tau} - \lambda^{(0)} \right) - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_2\tau} \lambda^{(0)} = 0 \quad (67)$$

whose solutions are

$$\lambda_{(0)}^{(0)} = 0 \quad (68)$$

$$\lambda_{(\pm)}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2b_2\tilde{\tau}} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4b_2\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\sigma}_c} \right) \quad (69)$$

Observe that there exists a critical relaxation time, $\tilde{\tau}_c = 1/(4b_2\tilde{\sigma}_c)$. Also, and more importantly, when $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow 0$ we have that $\lambda_{(-)} \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_c$ while $\lambda_{(+)}$ blows out. Therefore $\lambda_{(0)}^{(0)}$ and $\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}$ converge to the roots of the FOT model. The corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{(0)}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_{(+)}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1 \lambda_{(+)}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_{(-)}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1 \lambda_{(-)}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (70)$$

To find the solution of the inhomogeneous equation we propose

$$\Lambda^i = a_{(0)}(u) \Lambda_{(0)}^{(0)} + a_{(+)}(u) \Lambda_{(+)}^{(0)} e^{-2\lambda_{(+)}^{(0)}u} + a_{(-)}(u) \Lambda_{(-)}^{(0)} e^{-2\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}u} \quad (71)$$

and substitute in eq. (64). For $a_{(0)}(\eta)$ it is straightforwardly obtained that $a_{(0)}(\eta) = \text{const.}$ Recalling that this coefficient corresponds to $\Lambda^{(0)}$, and that this eigenvector represents the magnetic field, this means the obvious result that the commoving field remains constant and consequently the physical magnetic intensity will decay as $\propto a^{-2}(\eta)$. The other coefficients satisfy

$$a'_{(+)} = \frac{\lambda_{(+)}^{(0)}}{\Delta\lambda^{(0)}} \frac{2}{u} \left[a_{(+)} + a_{(-)} e^{2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u} \right] \quad (72)$$

$$a'_{(-)} = -\frac{\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}}{\Delta\lambda^{(0)}} \frac{2}{u} \left[a_{(+)} e^{-2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u} + a_{(-)} \right] \quad (73)$$

with $\Delta\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda_{(+)}^{(0)} - \lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}$. System (72)-(73) can be reduced to

$$a_{(+)} = - \left[\frac{\Delta\lambda^{(0)}}{\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}} \frac{u}{2} a'_{(-)} + a_{(-)} \right] e^{2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u} \quad (74)$$

plus an equation for $a_{(-)}$:

$$a''_{(-)} + \left[2\Delta\lambda^{(0)} - \frac{1}{u} \right] a'_{(-)} + 4\frac{\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}}{u} a_{(-)} = 0 \quad (75)$$

which through the change of variable $z = -2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u$ can be rewritten as a Kummer equation, whose solutions are the Confluent Hypergeometric functions [47, 48]. Two linearly independent solutions of this equation are [47, 48] $a^{(1)}_{(-)}(u) = U\left(2\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}/\Delta\lambda^{(0)}, -1, -2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u\right)$ and $a^{(2)}_{(-)}(u) = e^{-2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u}U\left(-1 - 2\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}/\Delta\lambda^{(0)}, -1, 2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u\right)$. For the given parameters, both functions converge for $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$ [48]. Therefore we write $a_{(-)}(u) = \alpha a^{(1)}_{(-)}(u) + \beta a^{(2)}_{(-)}(u)$, with α and β constants to be determined by the initial conditions.

Before analyzing asymptotic behaviors it is important to establish the (conformal) time interval where the evolution takes place. As said before, we are considering conduction currents, which are likely to be made of the lightest supersymmetry particle $s\text{-}\tau$. This means that we are considering times before the establishing of the standard electron-proton plasma, which we can estimate as being the time of the QCD phase transition. Moreover, we are interested in the final states of the magnetic evolution coupled to this current, because it would give the initial conditions for the subsequent evolution of the field in the standard proton-electron plasma. If we take the standard value of the Hubble constant during Inflation $H = 10^{12}$ GeV and the Planck mass as $m_{pl} \simeq 10^{19}$ GeV, we estimate the temperature at the onset of reheating as $T_{rh} = \sqrt{Hm_{pl}} \simeq 10^{15}$ GeV. This plasma cools down due to the expansion as $a_R^{-1} = u^{-2}$. The electroweak phase transition took place at a temperature scale of $T_{EW} \sim 10^2$ GeV, therefore the dimensionless, conformal time elapsed since the onset of reheating can be estimated as $\Delta u_{(R-EW)} \simeq \sqrt{T_{RH}/T_{EW}} \simeq 10^6 \gg 1$. Moreover, if we consider the QCD phase transition, for which $T_{QCD} \sim 10^{-1}$ GeV, then $\Delta u_{(R-QCD)} \simeq \sqrt{T_{RH}/T_{QCD}} \simeq 10^8 \gg 1$. Therefore to find the sought initial values for the subsequent evolution in the radiation era, we can safely take the limit $u \gg 1 \sim \infty$ throughout.

When $u \rightarrow \infty$ the Confluent Hypergeometric functions can be always approximated as [47, 48] $U(a, b, z) \sim z^{-a}$, and as $e^{-2\lambda^{(0)}_{(+)}u} \ll e^{-2\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}u}$ we get

$$\tilde{\zeta}(u \rightarrow \infty) \sim \alpha (-2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u)^{-2\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}/\Delta\lambda^{(0)}} e^{-2\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}u} \quad (76)$$

and

$$\tilde{E}(u \rightarrow \infty) \sim \alpha \frac{T_0}{b_1} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{\lambda_{(-)}} (-2\Delta\lambda^{(0)}u)^{-2\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}/\Delta\lambda^{(0)}} e^{-2\lambda^{(0)}_{(-)}u} \quad (77)$$

We see that $\zeta \propto (b_1/T_0) E$. Observe that for $\tilde{\tau} > \tilde{\tau}_c$ the solution becomes oscillatory. This behavior has no analog in FOT's. However, if we take the limit $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow 0$ in eq. (77), where $\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}/\Delta\lambda^{(0)} \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)} \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_c$, we recover the FOT results.

V. NON-HOMOGENEOUS MODE $K \ll 1$

Although the homogeneous mode has the appeal of affording a full analytical solution, it is clearly not very interesting from the cosmological point of view. In this section we shall consider the set of modes which are most relevant to cosmology, namely modes which are far beyond the horizon at reheating, $k \ll 1$. Now the magnetic field is no longer decoupled from the electric field, and we expect to find some feedback from the latter on the former, eventually reducing the cosmological a^{-2} suppression and the exponential decay found in the FOT analysis. We shall not attempt a full solution, but rather analyze the asymptotic behavior of the magnetic field.

To solve for $k \neq 0$ we begin by solving perturbatively the eigenvalue equation $\det \Xi = 0$.

$$\lambda^{(k)2} \left(\lambda^{(k)} - \frac{1}{b_2\tau} \right) + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_2\tau} \lambda^{(k)} = - \left(\lambda^{(k)} - \frac{1}{b_2\tau} \right) k^2 \quad (78)$$

by proposing

$$\lambda^{(k)} = \lambda^{(0)} + \lambda^{(2)}k^2 + \dots \quad (79)$$

After replacing (79) into (78) and keeping terms up to order k^2 we find

$$\lambda_{(0)}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_c} \quad (80)$$

$$\lambda_{(\pm)}^{(2)} = \frac{\lambda_{(\mp)}^{(0)}}{\lambda_{(\pm)}^{(0)2} - \lambda_{(+)}^{(0)}\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}} \quad (81)$$

with $\lambda_{(+)}^{(0)}\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)} = \tilde{\sigma}_c/(b_2\tilde{\tau})$. To find the eigenvectors we again set to zero the r.h.s. of eq (64), and propose the new eigenvectors as linear combinations of the $k = 0$ ones, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(k)} = a_{(0)}(k)\mathbf{\Lambda}_{(0)}^{(0)} + a_{(+)}(k)\mathbf{\Lambda}_{(+)}^{(0)} + a_{(-)}(k)\mathbf{\Lambda}_{(-)}^{(0)} \quad (82)$$

The results are shown in Appendix B.

To solve the time evolution we rewrite eq. (64) as

$$u \left[\frac{d}{du} \Lambda^i + 2\Xi_j^i \Lambda^j \right] = 2\delta_1^i \delta_j^1 \Lambda^j \quad (83)$$

In the above equation, upper index in Ξ denotes row while lower index denotes column. Keeping in mind that the physical range of u starts at $u = 1$, we Laplace transform Λ^i as

$$F^i(s) = \int_0^\infty du e^{-su} \Lambda^i(u) \quad (84)$$

and so eq. (83) becomes

$$-\frac{d}{ds} [sF^i(s) - \Lambda^i(0) + 2\Xi_j^i F^j(s)] = 2\delta_1^i \delta_j^1 F(s)^j \quad (85)$$

As the term involving the initial condition vanishes upon deriving we obtain

$$\frac{d}{ds} [\Theta(s)_j^i F^j(s)] = -2\delta_1^i \delta_j^1 F(s)^j \quad (86)$$

where we have defined

$$\Theta(s)_j^i = 2\Xi_j^i + s\delta_j^i \quad (87)$$

We now introduce the inverse matrix of $\Theta(s)_j^i$, $M(s)_j^i$, i.e.

$$\Theta(s)_j^i M(s)_k^j = \delta_k^i \quad (88)$$

and define a new variable $K(s)^i$ such that

$$F^i(s) = M(s)_j^i K(s)^j \quad (89)$$

Replacing in eq. (86) we obtain the following equation for $K(s)^i$:

$$\frac{d}{ds} [K^i(s)] = -2\delta_1^i M(s)_k^1 K(s)^k \quad (90)$$

We see that for $i = 2, 3$ the solutions are constants. For $i = 1$ we have

$$\frac{dK^1(s)}{ds} + 2M_1^1(s)K^1(s) = -2M_2^1(s)K^2 - 2M_3^1(s)K^3 \quad (91)$$

Previously, we have solved the eigenvector equation for the homogeneous system, i.e., $\Xi_j^i \Lambda_{(\alpha)}^{(k)j} = \lambda_{(\alpha)}^{(k)} \Lambda_{(\alpha)}^{(k)i}$ with $\alpha = 0, +, -$ (no sum over greek indices). To avoid cumbersome notation from now on the label (k) is omitted. There exists the inverse matrix to $\Lambda_{(\alpha)}^i$, $\Pi_i^{(\alpha)}$, i.e.

$$\Pi_j^{(\beta)} \Lambda_{(\alpha)}^j = \delta_{(\alpha)}^{(\beta)} \quad (92)$$

that also satisfies

$$\sum_{\alpha} \Lambda_{(\alpha)}^i \Pi_j^{(\alpha)} = \delta_j^i \quad (93)$$

Using this result we can write Ξ_j^i as

$$\Xi_j^i = \sum_{(\alpha)} \lambda_{(\alpha)} \Lambda_{(\alpha)}^i \Pi_j^{(\alpha)} \quad (94)$$

from where we can write the matrix M_j^i as

$$M_j^i(s) = \sum_{\alpha} \Lambda_{(\alpha)}^i (s + 2\lambda_{(\alpha)})^{-1} \Pi_j^{(\alpha)} \quad (95)$$

We now solve eq. (91). The homogeneous solution is straightforwardly obtained and reads

$$K_{hom}^1(s) = \prod_{\alpha} (s + 2\lambda_{\alpha})^{-2A_{(\alpha)}} \quad (96)$$

with

$$A_{(\alpha)} = \Lambda_{(\alpha)}^1 \Pi_1^{(\alpha)} \quad (97)$$

(no sum over α). Observe that using relation (93), $A_{(\alpha)}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{(\alpha)} A_{(\alpha)} = 1 \quad (98)$$

To find the inhomogeneous solution we propose $K_I^1(s) = L(s)K_{hom}^1(s)$ and after substituting in eq. (91) we find the following evolution equation for $L(s)$:

$$\frac{d}{ds} L(s) = -2 [M_2^1(s)K^2 + M_3^1(s)K^3] \prod_{\alpha} (s + 2\lambda_{\alpha})^{2A_{\alpha}} \quad (99)$$

Up to here, all the developments have been exact. However, to find solutions that represent the resulting field after the evolution in the reheating plasma, we must solve (99) in the asymptotic range $s \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., $u \rightarrow \infty$).

A. Solutions for $s \rightarrow 0$ ($u \rightarrow \infty$):

We now look into the small s limit. We begin by recalling that one of the eigenvalues, $\lambda_{(0)}$ goes to zero as $k \rightarrow 0$, while the other two $\lambda_{(+)}$ and $\lambda_{(-)}$ remain finite. Therefore for small enough s we can take $s \ll \lambda_{(\pm)}$, but we cannot assume $s \leq \lambda_{(0)}$. Therefore, retaining this last eigenvalue explicitly, we get (up to an unessential constant)

$$K_{hom}^1(s) \approx (s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{-2A_{(0)}} \quad (100)$$

and

$$M(s)_k^i = ([2\Xi]^{-1})_k^i + \Lambda_0^i \Pi_k^0 \left[(s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{-1} - (2\lambda_{(0)})^{-1} \right] \quad (101)$$

with

$$[2\Xi]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_2 \tilde{\tau}}{2} & 0 & -\frac{ib_1}{2kT_0} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{i}{2k} \\ \frac{ib_2 \tilde{\tau} T_0 \tilde{\sigma}_c}{2b_1 k} & -\frac{i}{2k} & \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{2k^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (102)$$

The solution of the inhomogeneous equation now reads

$$\begin{aligned} L(s) &= \frac{-2}{2A_0 + 1} \left\{ \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_2^1 - \Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)} (2\lambda_{(0)})^{-1} \right] K^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_3^1 - \Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)} (2\lambda_{(0)})^{-1} \right] K^3 \right\} (s + 2\lambda_0)^{2A_0+1} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{A_0} [\Lambda_0^1 \Pi_2^0 K^2 + \Lambda_0^1 \Pi_3^0 K^3] (s + 2\lambda_0)^{2A_0} + K^0 \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

whereby

$$\begin{aligned} K^1(s) &= L(s) K_{hom}(s) \\ &= \frac{-2}{2A_0 + 1} \left\{ \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_2^1 - \frac{\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)}}{2\lambda_{(0)}} \right] K^2 + \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_3^1 - \frac{\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)}}{2\lambda_{(0)}} \right] K^3 \right\} (s + 2\lambda_{(0)}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{A_{(0)}} [\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)} K^2 + \Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)} K^3] + K^0 (s + 2\lambda_0)^{-2A_{(0)}} \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

The different functions then read

$$\begin{aligned} F^i &= M_j^i(s) K^j(s) = ([2\Xi]^{-1})_1^i K^1(s) + ([2\Xi]^{-1})_2^i K^2(s) + ([2\Xi]^{-1})_3^i K^3(s) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{s + 2\lambda_{(0)}} - \frac{1}{2\lambda_{(0)}} \right] \Lambda_0^i [\Pi_1^0 K^1 + \Pi_2^0 K^2 + \Pi_3^0 K^3] \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Our main interest is F^3 as it is directly related to the magnetic field. The calculations are long but straightforward and are shown in Appendix C. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} F^3 &\simeq -\frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0) A_{(0)}} \left[\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)} K^2 + \Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)} K^3 \right] + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)^{1+2A_{(0)}}} K^0 \\ &\quad + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_3^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)} K^3 + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_2^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)} K^2 \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

The calculation of elements Π_j^i is also rather long but straightforward, here we quote the one in the term with K^0 as this term gives the main contribution. It reads $\Pi_1^0 = ikb_2 \tilde{\tau} T_0 / b_1$, and we then have

$$F^3 \simeq \frac{b_2 \tilde{\tau} T_0 K^0}{b_1 (s + 2\lambda_0)^{1+2A_{(0)}}} ik \quad (107)$$

where $A_{(0)} \simeq b_2 \tilde{\tau} k^2 / \tilde{\sigma}_c > 0$. The corresponding anti-transformed function is

$$B_y^{(k)}(u) \sim \left[\frac{b_2 \tilde{\tau} T_0 K^0}{b_1} i k u^{2A_{(0)}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) \right] \exp[-2\lambda_0 u] \quad (108)$$

Observe that due to the presence of the factor $u^{2A_{(0)}}$ the magnetic field decays slower than the exponential law of FOTs, even at large times.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the evolution of electromagnetic fields coupled to conduction currents during the reheating era, using second order causal hydrodynamics to describe the evolution of the currents. The evolution of the magnetic field occurs well before the EW phase transition, during an epoch where the standard proton-electron plasma is not established yet; the conduction currents we consider are likely to be made of the lightest supersymmetric partner s - τ . The main motivation behind the choice of SOTs is the well known fact that first order theories (as e.g. relativistic Navier Stokes equation) have severe problems of causality and have no stable equilibrium states. Also, SOTs behave quite well at describing RHICs [13, 19], where a plasma much like the one in the very early Universe is supposed to be created. Thus, although there is not a preferred SOT framework yet, it is important to begin to study different plasma effects in the early Universe using those formalisms extended to general relativity. We adopted the so-called divergence type theory plus the entropy production variational principle (EPVP) as a representative SOT, but regarded the transport coefficients as free parameters, rather than attempting to derive them from an underlying kinetic description [40]. In this sense our analysis is relevant to any SOT model. When extended to General Relativity, we found that the resulting theory is not conformally invariant: the Maxwell-Cattaneo equations that describe the viscous stresses and conduction currents lost this symmetry. As these equations are coupled to Maxwell equations, the consequence is that the magnetic flux is not suppressed by the expansion as quickly as in the Navier-Stokes theory. This might provide higher intensities as initial conditions for the subsequent evolution during radiation dominance. The physical explanation is that the expansion of the Universe produces temperature gradients which couple to the current and generally oppose dissipation.

To pursue the analysis we considered only the linear evolution because in this regime

all SOTs agree in providing the set of Maxwell - Cattaneo equations. Our goal was to identify the qualitative differences between FOTs and SOTs, this is the reason why we did not attempt to give numerical estimates of the resulting amplitudes. We have found that the field decay in the homogeneous mode may be oscillatory. Even in the purely decaying regime, for inhomogeneous modes there is a power-like correction to exponential decay, with a positive exponent. This suggests that the unfolding of hydrodynamic instabilities in these models follows a different pattern than in first order theories, and even than in second order theories on non expanding backgrounds. The study of the non-linear hydrodynamic instabilities is the next step in the research of primordial magnetic fields evolution within SOTs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

E.C. acknowledges support from CONICET, UBA and ANPCyT. A. K. thanks the Physics Department of Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales - UBA for kind hospitality during the development and completion of this work, and also support from UESC, BA-Brasil.

Appendix A: Large k modes

Although small scales are of little astrophysical interest concerning galactic magnetism, for completion we devote this appendix to analyze their evolution with the formalism considered in the paper. Moreover, in this case the mathematics is much simpler. We shall see that the effect of the relaxation time τ is to add damping, while the effects of conformal invariance breaking is to add a slight amplification of the magnetic field if the temperature (and therefore the conductivity) is low enough. The equations were

$$\frac{d\tilde{\zeta}}{du} + \left[\frac{2}{b_2\tau} - \frac{2}{u} \right] \tilde{\zeta} - \frac{2b_1}{b_2\tilde{\tau}T_0} \tilde{E} = 0 \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{E}}{du} + 2ik\tilde{B} + \frac{2\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1} \tilde{\zeta} = 0 \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{B}}{du} + 2ik\tilde{E} = 0 \quad (\text{A3})$$

It is convenient to introduce $\mathcal{E} = \tilde{E} + \tilde{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \tilde{B} - \tilde{E}$ to get

$$\frac{d\tilde{\zeta}}{du} + \left[\frac{2}{b_2\tau} - \frac{2}{u} \right] \tilde{\zeta} - \frac{2b_1}{2b_2\tilde{\tau}T_0} (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{B}) = 0 \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{du} + 2ik\mathcal{E} + \frac{2\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1} \tilde{\zeta} = 0 \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{du} - 2ik\mathcal{B} - \frac{2\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1} \tilde{\zeta} = 0 \quad (\text{A6})$$

Now we write

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 e^{-i2ku} \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 e^{i2ku} \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\tilde{\zeta} = \tilde{\zeta}_+ e^{i2ku} + \tilde{\zeta}_- e^{-i2ku} \quad (\text{A9})$$

with the understanding that the pre-exponentials are all slowly varying functions of time.

Collecting positive and negative frequency oscillations we get

$$\frac{d\tilde{\zeta}_+}{du} + \left[2ik + \frac{2}{b_2\tau} - \frac{2}{u} \right] \tilde{\zeta}_+ + \frac{b_1}{b_2\tilde{\tau}T_0} \mathcal{B}_0 = 0 \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{B}_0}{du} - \frac{2\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1} \tilde{\zeta}_+ = 0 \quad (\text{A11})$$

and

$$\frac{d\tilde{\zeta}_-}{du} + \left[-2ik + \frac{2}{b_2\tau} - \frac{2}{u} \right] \tilde{\zeta}_- - \frac{b_1}{b_2\tilde{\tau}T_0} \mathcal{E}_0 = 0 \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}_0}{du} + \frac{2\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1} \tilde{\zeta}_- = 0 \quad (\text{A13})$$

Leading to

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{B}_0}{du^2} + \left[2ik + \frac{2}{b_2\tilde{\tau}} \right] \frac{d\mathcal{B}_0}{du} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_2} \mathcal{B}_0 = \frac{2}{u} \frac{d\mathcal{B}_0}{du} \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{E}_0}{du^2} + \left[-2ik + \frac{2}{b_2\tilde{\tau}} \right] \frac{d\mathcal{E}_0}{du} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_2} \mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{2}{u} \frac{d\mathcal{E}_0}{du} \quad (\text{A15})$$

Let us analyze the equation for \mathcal{B}_0 . Setting the r.h.s. of eq. (A14) to zero, the solutions are $e^{i\omega u}$ with

$$\omega^2 + \left[2k - \frac{2i}{b_2\tau} \right] \omega - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_2\tilde{\tau}} = 0 \quad (\text{A16})$$

The roots are

$$\omega_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\pm \sqrt{\left(2k - \frac{2i}{b_2\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{b_2\tilde{\tau}}} - \left(2k - \frac{2i}{b_2\tau} \right) \right] \quad (\text{A17})$$

The slowly varying solution being ω_+ . Therefore we postulate

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = ae^{i\omega_+ u} + be^{i\omega_- u} \quad (\text{A18})$$

to get

$$\frac{da}{du}e^{i\omega_+ u} + \frac{db}{du}e^{i\omega_- u} = 0 \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$\omega_+ \frac{da}{du}e^{i\omega_+ u} + \omega_- \frac{db}{du}e^{i\omega_- u} = \frac{2}{u} (\omega_+ ae^{i\omega_+ u} + \omega_- be^{i\omega_- u}) \quad (\text{A20})$$

which for $b \ll a$ becomes

$$\frac{da}{du} = \frac{2\omega_+}{(\omega_+ - \omega_-)u} a \quad (\text{A21})$$

$$\frac{db}{du} = \frac{-2\omega_+}{(\omega_+ - \omega_-)u} ae^{i(\omega_+ - \omega_-)u} \quad (\text{A22})$$

whose solution for a is

$$a = u^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = \frac{2\omega_+}{\omega_+ - \omega_-} \quad (\text{A23})$$

Therefore we get

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \approx \exp \left\{ i\omega_+ \left[\frac{-2i}{\omega_+ - \omega_-} \ln u + u \right] \right\} \quad (\text{A24})$$

When k is very large we have

$$\omega_+ = \frac{i\tilde{\sigma}_c}{2} \frac{1}{1 + ikb_2\tilde{\tau}} \quad (\text{A25})$$

so

$$\text{Re } i\omega_+ = \frac{-\tilde{\sigma}_c}{2} \frac{1}{b_2^2\tilde{\tau}^2k^2 + 1} \quad (\text{A26})$$

and

$$\frac{2\omega_+}{\omega_+ - \omega_-} = -\frac{b_2\tau\tilde{\sigma}_c}{[1 + ikb_2\tilde{\tau}]^2} \quad (\text{A27})$$

therefore

$$\text{Re } \frac{2\omega_+}{\omega_+ - \omega_-} = b_2\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\sigma}_c \frac{b_2^2\tau^2k^2 - 1}{[b_2^2\tau^2k^2 + 1]^2} \quad (\text{A28})$$

We may write

$$|\mathcal{B}_0| \approx \exp \{ \Delta [u_c \ln u - u] \} \quad (\text{A29})$$

where

$$u_c = 2b_2\tilde{\tau} \frac{b_2^2\tilde{\tau}^2k^2 - 1}{b_2^2\tilde{\tau}^2k^2 + 1} \quad (\text{A30})$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{2} \frac{1}{b_2^2\tau^2k^2 + 1} \quad (\text{A31})$$

\mathcal{B}_0 grows up to u_c with an amplification factor

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{B}_0(u_c)}{\mathcal{B}_0(u_i)} \right| = \exp \{ \Delta u_c [\ln(u_c/u_i) - 1 + u_i/u_c] \} \quad (\text{A32})$$

Of course, provided $u_c > u_i$. For all practical purposes, the amplification is

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{B}_0(u_c)}{\mathcal{B}_0(u_i)} \right| = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{b_2 \tilde{\tau}} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c}{k^2} \right\} \quad (\text{A33})$$

Appendix B: Eigenvectors for $k \ll 1$

After long but straightforward calculations the eigenvectors for the perturbatively corrected eigenvalues are:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{(0)}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{b_1 i k}{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0} \\ -\frac{i k}{\tilde{\sigma}_c} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_{(0)}^{(k)} = \frac{k^2}{\tilde{\sigma}_c} \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{(+)}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}}{\lambda_{(+)}^{(0)}} \frac{k^2}{\Delta \lambda^{(0)2}} \\ \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1 \lambda_{(+)}^{(0)}} \left[1 - \frac{k^2}{\Delta \lambda^{(0)2}} \right] \\ \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1 \lambda_{(+)}^{(0)2}} i k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_{(+)}^{(k)} = \lambda_{(+)}^{(0)} + \frac{\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)} k^2}{\lambda_{(+)}^{(0)2} - \lambda_{(+)}^{(0)} \lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}} \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{(-)}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda_{(+)}^{(0)}}{\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}} \frac{k^2}{\Delta \lambda^{(0)2}} \\ \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1 \lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}} \left[1 - \frac{k^2}{\Delta \lambda^{(0)2}} \right] \\ \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_c T_0}{b_1 \lambda_{(-)}^{(0)2}} i k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_{(-)}^{(k)} = \lambda_{(-)}^{(0)} + \frac{\lambda_{(+)}^{(0)} k^2}{\lambda_{(-)}^{(0)2} - \lambda_{(+)}^{(0)} \lambda_{(-)}^{(0)}} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Appendix C: Solving for F^3

Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^3 = & \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_1^3 - \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{2\lambda_0} \right] K^1 + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{s + 2\lambda_0} K^1 \\ & + \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_3^3 - \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_3^0}{2\lambda_0} \right] K^3 + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_3^0}{s + 2\lambda_0} K^3 \\ & + \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_2^3 - \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_2^0}{2\lambda_0} \right] K^2 + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_2^0}{s + 2\lambda_0} K^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

Replacing the different expressions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F^3 \approx & \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_1^3 - \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{2\lambda_0} \right] \left\{ \frac{-2}{2A_0 + 1} \left[\left(([2\Xi]^{-1})_2^1 - \frac{\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)}}{2\lambda_{(0)}} \right) K^2 \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \left(([2\Xi]^{-1})_3^1 - \frac{\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)}}{2\lambda_{(0)}} \right) K^3 \right] (s + 2\lambda_{(0)}) \\
& - \frac{1}{A_{(0)}} \left[\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)} K^2 + \Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)} K^3 \right] + \frac{K^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)^{2A_{(0)}}} \Big\} \\
& + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)} \left\{ \frac{-2}{2A_0 + 1} \left[\left(([2\Xi]^{-1})_2^1 - \frac{\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)}}{2\lambda_{(0)}} \right) K^2 \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \left(([2\Xi]^{-1})_3^1 - \frac{\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)}}{2\lambda_{(0)}} \right) K^3 \right] (s + 2\lambda_{(0)}) \\
& - \frac{1}{A_{(0)}} \left[\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)} K^2 + \Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)} K^3 \right] + \frac{K^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)^{2A_{(0)}}} \Big\} \\
& + \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_3^3 - \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_3^0}{2\lambda_0} \right] K^3 + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_3^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)} K^3 + \left[([2\Xi]^{-1})_2^3 - \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_2^0}{2\lambda_0} \right] K^2 \\
& + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_2^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)} K^2
\end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

To find the corresponding time dependent function, observe that we can write

$$\int_0^\infty du u^\alpha e^{-(s+2\lambda_{(0)})u} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{(s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{\alpha+1}} \tag{C3}$$

If $\alpha \rightarrow -n$ the integral diverges for $u \rightarrow 0$. But as we are interested in the late behavior of the fields it is legitimate to compute the limit when $\alpha \rightarrow -n$ and discard the divergent term (that corresponds to times out of the interval of validity of the approximations made in this paragraph). This we do by adding an 'infrared' cut-off. We then have

$$\mathcal{J}_n(s) \equiv \int_0^\infty du u^{-n+\epsilon} e^{-(s+2\lambda_{(0)})u} = \Gamma(1 - n + \epsilon) (s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{n-1-\epsilon} \tag{C4}$$

where ϵ a small parameter. Developing in Laurent series around the pole we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_n(s) & \simeq \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left[1 + \epsilon \ln \left(\frac{s + 2\lambda_{(0)}}{\mu} \right) \right] \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \psi(n + 1) \right] (s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{n-1} \\
& \simeq \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left[\ln \left(\frac{s + \lambda_{(0)}}{\mu} \right) + \psi(n + 1) \right] (s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{n-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{C5}$$

with μ a renormalization constant and $\psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$. Finally, for $s \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty du u^{-n+\epsilon} e^{-(s+2\lambda_{(0)})u} \rightarrow \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left[\ln \left(\frac{2\lambda_{(0)}}{\mu} \right) + \psi(n + 1) \right] (s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{n-1} \tag{C6}$$

To apply this result to eq. (C2) we observe that $A_{(0)} \simeq b_2 \tilde{\tau} k^2 / \tilde{\sigma}_c \ll 1$, and therefore can be discarded in front of 1. The Laplace anti-transformed different terms that appear in expr. (C2) can then be approximated as

$$(s + 2\lambda_{(0)})^{-1} \rightarrow \left[\ln \left(\frac{\lambda_{(0)}}{\mu} \right) + \psi(1) \right]^{-1} e^{-2\lambda_{(0)}u} \quad (C7)$$

$$(s + 2\lambda_{(0)}) \rightarrow \frac{2}{u^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{2\lambda_{(0)}}{\mu} \right) + \psi(3) \right]^{-1} e^{-2\lambda_{(0)}u} \quad (C8)$$

$$const \rightarrow -\frac{1}{u} \left[\ln \left(\frac{2\lambda_{(0)}}{\mu} \right) + \psi(2) \right]^{-1} e^{-2\lambda_{(0)}u} \quad (C9)$$

and we see that the contribution that gives the slower decay comes from correspondence (C7). We then keep only those terms, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} F^3 \simeq & -\frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0) A_{(0)}} \left[\Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_2^{(0)} K^2 + \Lambda_{(0)}^1 \Pi_3^{(0)} K^3 \right] + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_1^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)^{1+2A_{(0)}}} K^0 \\ & + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_3^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)} K^3 + \frac{\Lambda_0^3 \Pi_2^0}{(s + 2\lambda_0)} K^2 \end{aligned} \quad (C10)$$

The calculation of elements Π_j^i is rather long but straightforward, here we quote the one in the term with K^0 as this term gives the main contribution. It reads $\Pi_1^0 = ikb_2 \tilde{\tau} T_0 / b_1$, and we then have

$$F^3 \simeq \frac{b_2 \tilde{\tau} T_0 K^0}{b_1 (s + 2\lambda_0)^{1+2A_{(0)}}} ik \quad (C11)$$

Leaving aside the constant factor in expr. (C7) the corresponding anti-transformed function is

$$B_y^{(k)}(u) \sim \left[\frac{b_2 \tilde{\tau} T_0 K^0}{b_1} iku^{2A_{(0)}} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right) \right] \exp[-2\lambda_0 u] \quad (C12)$$

-
- [1] A. Kandus, K. E. Kunze and C. G. Tsagas, *Primordial magnetogenesis*, Phys.Rept. **505** (2011) 1-58 [arXiv:1007.3891].
 - [2] R. and A. Neronov, *Cosmological Magnetic Fields: Their Generation, Evolution and Observation*, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. **21** (2013) 62 [arXiv:1303.7121]
 - [3] U. Klein and A. Fletcher, *Galactic and Intergalactic Magnetic Fields*, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland (2015).
 - [4] T. Fujita and S. Yokoyama, *Critical constraint on inflationary magnetogenesis*, JCAP **1403** (2014) 013, [Erratum-ibid. **1405** (2014) E02] [arXiv:1402.0596].

- [5] C. G. Tsagas and A. Kandus, *Superadiabatic-type magnetic amplification in conventional cosmology*, Phys.Rev. **D 71** (2005) 123506 [arXiv:astro-ph/0504089]; *Geometrical generation of cosmic magnetic fields within standard electromagnetism*, Braz.J.Phys. **35** (2005) 1070.
- [6] R. Banerjee and K. Jedamzik, *The Evolution of Cosmic Magnetic Fields: From the Very Early Universe, to Recombination, to the Present*, Phys.Rev. **D 70** (2004) 123003 [arXiv:astro-ph/0410032].
- [7] E. Calzetta and A. Kandus, *Primordial Magnetic Helicity from Stochastic Electric Currents*, Phys.Rev. **D 89** (2014) 083012 [arXiv:1403.1193]; *Primordial Magnetic Field Amplification from Turbulent Reheating*, JCAP **1008** (2010) 007 [arXiv:1004.1994]; *Selfconsistent estimates of magnetic fields from reheating*, Phys.Rev. **D 65** (2002) 063004 [arXiv:astro-ph/0110341]; E. A. Calzetta, A. Kandus and F. D. Mazzitelli, *Primordial magnetic fields induced by cosmological particle creation*, Phys.Rev. **D 57** (1998) 7139 [arXiv:astro-ph/9707220].
- [8] A. Saveliev, K. Jedamzik, and G. Sigl, *Time evolution of the large-scale tail of nonhelical primordial magnetic fields with back-reaction of the turbulent medium*, Phys. Rev. **D 86** (2012) 103010 [arXiv:1208.0444]; *Evolution of Helical Cosmic Magnetic Fields as Predicted by Magnetohydrodynamic Closure Theory*, Phys. Rev. **D 87** (2013) 123001 [arXiv:1304.3621]; J. M. Wagstaff, R. Banerjee, D. Schleicher, and G. Sigl, *Magnetic field amplification by the small-scale dynamo in the early Universe*, Phys.Rev. **D 89** (2014) 103001 [arXiv:1304.4723].
- [9] M. A. Amin, M. P. Hertzberg, D. I. Kaiser and J. Karouby *Non-perturbative Dynamics Of Reheating After Inflation*, [arXiv:1410.3808].
- [10] J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin *Observing the Inflationary Reheating*, [arXiv:1410.7958].
- [11] A. A. Starobinsky, *A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity*, Phys. Lett. **B 91** (1980) 99;
V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology*, Cambridge University Press eds, Cambridge (2005).
- [12] A. Kandus, E. A. Calzetta, F. D. Mazzitelli and Carlos E.M. Wagner, *Cosmological magnetic fields from gauge mediated supersymmetry breaking models*, Phys.Lett. **B 472** (2000) 287 [arXiv:astro-ph/9908524];
M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, O. Ståal, C. E. M. Wagner and G. Weiglein, *MSSM Higgs boson searches at the LHC: benchmark scenarios after the discovery of a Higgs-like particle*, Eur.

- Phys. Jour. **C 73** (2013) 2552 [arXiv:1302.7033].
- [13] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, *Collective Flow and Viscosity in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions*, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **63** (2013) 123-151 [arXiv:1301.2826];
 C. Gale, S. Jeon and B. Schenke, *Hydrodynamic Modeling Of Heavy-Ion Collisions*, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A, **28** (2013) 1340011 [arXiv:1301.5893];
 T. Hirano, N. van der Kolk and A. Bilandzic, *Hydrodynamics and Flow*, Lect. Notes Phys. **785** (2010) 139 [arXiv:0808.2684];
 P. Romatschke, *New Developments in Relativistic Viscous Hydrodynamics*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E 19**, 1 (2010) [arXiv:0902.3663];
 E. Calzetta, *Real relativistic fluids in heavy ion collisions*, [arXiv:1310.0841].
- [14] C. Eckart, *The thermodynamics of irreversible processes*, Phys. Rev. **58**, 919-924 (1940).
- [15] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Pergamon Press eds, Oxford England (1959).
- [16] W. Hiscock and L. Lindblom, *Stability and causality in dissipative relativistic fluids*, Ann. Phys. **151** (1983) 466; *Generic instabilities in first-order dissipative relativistic fluid theories*, Phys. Rev. **D31** (1985) 725; *Stability in dissipative relativistic fluid theories*, Contemporary Mathematics **71** (1988) 181.
- [17] P. Ván and T.S. Biró, *First order and stable relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics*, Physics Letters **B 709** (2012) 106110 [arXiv:1109.0985];
 A. L. Garcia-Perciante, H. Mondragon-Suarez, D. Brun-Battistini and A. Sandoval-Villalazo, *On the stability problem in relativistic thermodynamics: implications of the Chapman-Enskog formalism*, [arXiv: 1406.3666].
- [18] W. Israel, *Covariant fluid mechanics and thermodynamics: an introduction*, in *Relativistic fluid dynamics*, A. Anile and Y. Choquet - Bruhat (eds.), Springer, New York USA, 1988;
Nonstationary irreversible thermodynamics: A causal relativistic theory, Ann. Phys. (NY) **100** (1976) 310;
 T. Olson, *Stability and Causality in the Israel-Stewart Energy Frame Theory*, Ann. Phys. **199** (1990) 18;
 T. Olson and W. Hiscock, *Plane Steady Shock Waves in Israel-Stewart Fluids*, Ann. Phys. **204** (1990) 331;
 W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, *Transient relativistic thermodynamics and kinetic theory*, Ann.

- Phys. (NY) **118** (1979) 341.
- [19] M. Luzum and P. Romatschke, *Conformal relativistic viscous hydrodynamics: Applications to RHIC results at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV*, Phys. Rev. **C 78** (2008) 034915 [*Erratum ibid* **C79** (2009) 039903(E)] [arXiv:0804.4015];
- R. S. Bhalerao and S. Gupta, *Aspects of causal viscous hydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **C 77** (2008) 014902 [arXiv: 0706.3428];
- R. S. Bhalerao, A. Jaiswal, S. Pal, and V. Sreekanth, *Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics for heavy-ion collisions: A comparison between Chapman-Enskog and Grad's methods*, Phys. Rev. **C 89** (2014) 054903 [arXiv: 1312.1864].
- [20] D. Jou, J. Casas-Vazquez and G. Lebon, *Extended irreversible thermodynamics*, Springer eds, Berlin (2001); *Extended irreversible thermodynamics revisited*, Springer, Berlin (1998); Rep. Prog. Phys. **62** (1999) 1035.
- [21] R. Geroch and L. Lindblom, *Dissipative relativistic fluid theories of divergence type*, Phys. Rev. **D 41** (1990) 1855; *Causal theories of dissipative relativistic fluids*; Ann. Phys. (NY) **207** (1991) 394;
- E. Calzetta, *Relativistic fluctuating hydrodynamics* Class. Quant. Grav. **15** (1998) 653 [arXiv:gr-qc/9708048];
- G. B. Nagy and O. A. Reula, *On the causality of a dilute gas as a dissipative relativistic fluid theory of divergence type*, J. Phys. **A 28** (1995) 6943;
- E. Calzetta and M. Thibeault, *Relativistic theories of interacting fields and fluids*, Phys. Rev. **D 63** (2001) 103507 [arXiv: hep-ph/0012375].
- [22] J. C. Maxwell, *On the dynamical theory of gases*, Philos. Trans. Soc. London **157** (1867) 49;
- C. Cattaneo, *Sulla conduzione de calore*, Atti del Semin. Mat. e Fis. Univ. Modena **3** (1948) 3;
- Sur une forme de l'equation de la chaleur eliminant le paradoxe d'une propagation instantaneé*, Comp. Ren. Acad. Sci. **247** (1958) 431;
- D. D. Joseph and L. Preziosi, *Heat waves*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61** (1989) 41 [*Add. Ibid* **62** (1990) 375];
- A.L. García-Perciante, A. Sandoval-Villalazo, L.S. García-Colín *Generalized relativistic Chapman-Enskog solution of the Boltzmann equation*, Physica **A 387** (2008) 5073 [arXiv:0708.3252].
- [23] A. Jaiswal, *Relaxation-time approximation and relativistic viscous hydrodynamics from kinetic*

- theory*, Nucl.Phys. **A 931** (2014) 1205 [arXiv:1407.0837]; *Relativistic third-order dissipative fluid dynamics from kinetic theory*, Phys.Rev. **C 88** (2013) 021903 [arXiv:1305.3480]; *Formulation of relativistic dissipative fluid dynamics and its applications in heavy-ion collisions* [arXiv:1408.0867];
- A. Jaiswal, R. S. Bhalerao and S. Pal, *Complete relativistic second-order dissipative hydrodynamics from the entropy principle*, Phys Rev. **C 87** (2013) 021901(R) [arXiv:1302.0666];
- C. Chattopadhyay, A. Jaiswal, S. Pal, and R. Ryblewski, *Relativistic third-order viscous corrections to the entropy four-current from kinetic theory* [arXiv: 1411.2363].
- [24] K. Tsumura and T. Kunihiro, *Causal Hydrodynamics From Kinetic Theory By Doublet Scheme In Renormalization-Group Method*, [arXiv: 1311.7059].
- [25] G. S. Denicol, T. Koide, and D. H. Rischke, *Dissipative relativistic fluid dynamics: a new way to derive the equations of motion from kinetic theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 162501 [arXiv:1004.5013];
- G. S. Denicol, E. Molnár, H. Niemi and D. H. Rischke, *Derivation of fluid dynamics from kinetic theory with the 14 moment approximation*, Eur. Phys. J. **A 48** (2012) 170 [arXiv:1206.1554].
- [26] R. Baier, P. Romatschke, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets and M. A. Stephanov, *Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics, conformal invariance, and holography*, JHEP **04** (2008) 100 [arXiv:0712.2451].
- [27] M. Takamoto, S. Inutsuka, *The relativistic kinetic dispersion relation: Comparison of the relativistic Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model and Grad's 14-moment expansion*, Physica **A 389** (2010) 4580 [arXiv:1006.2663].
- [28] X. Huang and T. Koide, *Shear viscosity, Bulk viscosity and relaxation times of causal dissipative relativistic fluid-dynamics at finite temperature and chemical potential*, Nucl. Phys. **A 889** (2012) 73 [arXiv:1105.2483].
- [29] M. Martinez and M. Strickland, *Dissipative dynamics of highly anisotropic systems*, Nuc. Phys. **A 848** (2010) 183 [arXiv:1007.0889];
- M. Martinez and M. Strickland, *Non-boost-invariant anisotropic dynamics*, Nuc. Phys. **A 856** (2011) 68 [arXiv:1011.3056];
- M. Strickland, *Anisotropic hydrodynamics: three lectures*, Act. Phys. Pol. **B 45** (2014) 2355 [arXiv:1410.5786].
- [30] A. Brandenburg, T. Kahniashvili and A. G. Tevzadze, *Nonhelical inverse transfer of a decaying*

- turbulent magnetic field*, [arXiv: 1404.2238].
- [31] A. Berera and M. Linkmann, *Magnetic helicity and the evolution of decaying magnetohydrodynamic turbulence*, Phys. Rev. **E 90** (2014) 041003 [arXiv: 1405.6756].
- [32] S. Mrowczynski, *Plasma instability at the initial stage of ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions*, Phys. Lett. **B 314** (1993) 118;
- S. Mrowczynski and M. H. Thoma, *What do electromagnetic plasmas tell us about the quark-gluon plasma?*, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **57** (2007) 61 [arXiv:nucl-th/0701002];
- B. Schenke, M. Strickland, C. Greiner, and M. H. Thoma, *Model of the effect of collisions on QCD plasma instabilities*, Phys. Rev. **D 73** (2006) 125004 [arXiv:hep-ph/0603029];
- M. Attems, A. Rebhan, and M. Strickland, *Instabilities of an anisotropically expanding non-Abelian plasma: 3D+3V discretized hard-loops simulations*, Phys. Rev. **D 87** (2013) 025010 [arXiv:1207.5795];
- M. Mannarelli and C. Manuel, *Chromohydrodynamical instabilities induced by relativistic jets*, Phys. Rev. **D 76** (2007) 094007 [arXiv:0705.1047]; *Jet-induced gauge field instabilities in the quark-gluon plasma: a kinetic theory approach*, Phys. Rev. **D 77** (2008) 054018 [arXiv:0707.3893];
- J. Peralta-Ramos and E. Calzetta, *Hydrodynamic approach to QGP instabilities*, Physical Review **D 88** (2013) 095010 [arXiv:1309.5412].
- [33] V. Belinskii, E. Nikomarov and I. Khalatnikov, *Investigation of the cosmological evolution of viscoelastic matter with causal thermodynamics*, Sov. J. Exp. and Theor. Phys. **50** (1979) 213.
- [34] D. Pavón, D. Jou and J. Casas-Vázquez, *On a covariant formulation of dissipative phenomena*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré (A) Phys. Theor. **36** (1982) 79;
- D. Pavón, J. Bafaluy and D. Jou, *Causal Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmology*, Class. Quantum Grav. **8** (1991) 347.
- [35] W. Zimdahl and D. Pavón, *Fluid cosmology with decay and production of particles*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **26** (1994) 1259;
- W. Zimdahl, D. Pavon, and R. Maartens, *Reheating and causal thermodynamics*, Phys.Rev. **D 55** (1997) 4681 [arXiv:astro-ph/9611147];
- Roberto A. Sussman and Diego Pavón, *Exact inhomogeneous cosmologies whose source is a radiation-matter mixture with consistent thermodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **D 60** (1999) 104023 [arXiv:gr-qc/9907010];

- W. Zimdahl, *Cosmological particle production, causal thermodynamics and inflationary expansion*, Phys. Rev. **D 61** (2000) 083511 [arXiv:astro-ph/9910483];
- W. Zimdahl, D.J. Schwarz, A.B. Balakin and D. Pavón, *Cosmic anti-friction and accelerated expansion*, Phys. Rev. **D 64** (2001) 063501 [arXiv:astro-ph/0009353];
- O. F. Piattella, J. C. Fabris and W. Zimdahl, *Bulk viscous cosmology with causal transport theory*, JCAP **05** (2011) 029 [arXiv:1103.1328] .
- [36] R. Maartens, *Dissipative cosmology*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 1455.
- [37] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, I. G. Moss, and R. O. Ramos, *Cosmological fluctuations of a random field and radiation fluid*, JCAP **05** (2014) 004 [arXiv:1401.1149].
- [38] S. Floerchinger, N. Tetradis, and U. A. Wiedemann *Accelerating cosmological expansion from shear viscosity* [arXiv:1411.3280].
- [39] G. Denicol, U. Heinz, M. Martinez, J. Noronha and M. Strickland, *Studying the validity of relativistic hydrodynamics with a new exact solution of the Boltzmann equation*, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 125026 [arXiv:1408.7048]; *A new exact solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation and its hydrodynamic limit*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014) 202301 [arXiv:1408.5646];
- Y. Hatta, J. Noronha and B. Xiao, *A systematic study of exact solutions in second-order conformal hydrodynamics*, Phys.Rev. **D 89** (2014) 114011 [arXiv:1403.7693];
- Y. Hatta and B. Xiao, *Building up the elliptic flow: analytical insights*, Phys.Lett. **B 736** (2014) 180 [1405.1984].
- [40] M. Elias, J. Peralta-Ramos, E. Calzetta, *Heavy quark collisional energy loss in the quark-gluon plasma including finite relaxation time*, Phys.Rev. **D 90** (2014) 014038 [arXiv:1404.7790];
- J. Peralta-Ramos, E. Calzetta, *Macroscopic approximation to relativistic kinetic theory from a nonlinear closure*, Phys.Rev. **D87** (2013) 034003 [arXiv:1212.0824]; *Shear viscosity from thermal fluctuations in relativistic conformal fluid dynamics*, JHEP **2012** (2012) 085 [1109.3833];
- Divergence-type 2+1 dissipative hydrodynamics applied to heavy-ion collisions*, Phys.Rev. **C 82** (2010) 054905 [arXiv:1003.1091]; *Divergence-type theory of conformal fields*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **D 19** (2010) 1721 [arXiv:0912.0673]; *Divergence-type nonlinear conformal hydrodynamics*, Phys.Rev. **D 80** (2009) 126002 [arXiv:0908.2646];
- E. Calzetta, J. Peralta-Ramos, *Linking the hydrodynamic and kinetic description of a dissipative relativistic conformal theory*, Phys.Rev. **D 82** (2010) 106003 [arXiv:1009.2400];
- [41] J. Peralta-Ramos, E. Calzetta, *Effective dynamics of a non-abelian plasma out of equilibrium*,

- Phys.Rev. **D 86** (2012) 125024 [arXiv:1208.2715];
- E. Calzetta, *Non abelian hydrodynamics and heavy ion collisions*, AIP Conf.Proc. **1578** (2014) 74 [arXiv:1311.1845].
- [42] C. Marle, *Sur l'établissement des équations de l'hydrodynamique des fluids relativistes dissipatifs I - L'équation de Boltzmann relativiste*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré (A), **10** (1969) 67.
- [43] C. Marle, *Sur l'établissement des équations de l'hydrodynamique des fluids relativistes dissipatifs II - Méthodes de résolution approchée de l'équation de Boltzmann relativiste* Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré (A), **10** (1969) 127.
- [44] J. L. Anderson and H. R. Witting, *A relativistic relaxation-time model for the Boltzmann equation*, Physica **74** (1974) 466.
- [45] J. L. Anderson and H. R. Witting, *Relativistic quantum transport coefficients*, Physica **74** (1974) 489.
- [46] G. F. R. Ellis, *Relativistic Cosmologies*, in *Cargèse Lectures in Physics*, **I**, E. Schatzman eds., Gordon and Breach, New York (1973) 1.
- [47] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover eds., New York USA (1972).
- [48] F. W. J. Olver et al. eds., *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press eds, Cambridge (2010).